

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,342	05/25/2006	Hisayoshi Okuya	• Q94136	3230
23373 7590 11/20/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			NGO, HUNG V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		2831	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,342	OKUYA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hung V. Ngo	2831
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04-07.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

10/580,342 Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takashi et al (JP 2003-151691).

Re claim 1, Takashi et al disclose a holding structure for an electronic part (5), including a first mold (20) which has a positioning shape portion for the electronic part, and a second mold (11) which is insert-molded so as to cover the first mold and the electronic part positioned with the first resin mold, characterized in that said positioning shape portion of said first resin mold is provided with a through hole (under terminal 2, 2a) for allowing an insert resin material to pass therein to form the second resin mold (Fig 1).

Re claim 2, wherein said positioning shape portion has a cup-shaped configuration in which the electronic part is adapted to be inserted (Fig 1).

Re claim 3, wherein said through hole is provided in a bottom wall of said positioning shape portion (Fig 1).

Re claim 4, wherein said through hole provided in said bottom wall of said positioning shape portion includes two through holes (Fig 1).

Re claim 5, wherein said through hole is provided in a side wall of said positioning

Application/Control Number:

10/580,342 Art Unit: 2831

shape portion (Fig 1).

Re claim 6, wherein said through hole provided in said side wall of said positioning shape portion includes two through holes (Fig 1).

Re claim 7, wherein a gap is provided between an inner wall surface of said positioning shape portion with a cup-shaped configuration, in which the electronic part, is adapted to be inserted, and the electronic part (Fig 1).

Re claim 8, wherein said through hole guides said insert material to form said second mold into said positioning shape portion (Fig 1).

The teaching does not disclose the first and second mold made of resin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use for resin for the first and second molds of Takashi, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/580,342 Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVN 11-13-07

Huy UNG

HUNG V. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER